Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	narv	09/899,090	BEELMAN ET AL.	
	nar y	Examiner	Art Unit	
_		N. Bhat	1764	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _		
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>H. Nebel</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 1 June 2005		Time:		
	☐ Applica] No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: New matter rejection claim 9				
Claims discussed: Possible 103 over Murch et al. in view of Lehmann et	al.			
Prior art documents discussed: Murch et al. in view of Lehmann et al.				
Part II.		·		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	\S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a structly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a structure of the interview. 	cation. The separate re	examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substand e interview, since the intervie	се
		•		
			•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated to applicant's representative that in order to obviate the 103 rejection applicant should recite that after contact with the antimicrobial solution having a pH between 9-11, the mushroom is rinsed with a neutralizing/browning inhibitor solution comprosing erythorbic acid, sodium erythorbate or combinations therof wherein the mushrooms are returned to mushroom physiological pH. Applicant's attorney agreed to the changes in order to advance prosecution and to allow the re-issue application.